MINUTES OF A WORK AND REGULAR
SESSION OF THE VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING
City Hall, 240 East Gammon Road, Vineyard, Utah
November 8, 2017 at 6:00 PM

---

| **Present** | **Absent** |
|---|---|
| Mayor Randy Farnworth | |
| Councilmember Tyce Flake | |
| Councilmember Julie Fullmer | |
| Councilmember Dale Goodman | |
| Councilmember Nate Riley | |

**Staff Present:** City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue, Public Works Director/Engineer Don Overson, City Attorney David Church, Sergeant Holden Rockwell with the Utah County Sheriff's Department, Community Development Director Morgan Brim, City Recorder Pamela Spencer, Building Official George Reid, Water/Sewer Operator Sullivan Love, Treasurer Mariah Hill

**Others Present:** Marisa Rodriguez, Julia Hoyt, Melissa Dalby, Summer Choi, and Elena Reeves from the BYU Recreation Experience Design Lab; Residents Teresa Goodman, Jessica Colyar, Bryce Brady, Anthony Jenkins, Cristy Welsh, and David Lauret; Provo Resident Rohmee Gonzalez; Eric Gaoiran with Cottonwood Partners; Nathan Anderson, Melissa Meier, and Sue Hronek with Union Pacific Railroad; Steve Hutchins with 600 Mill; David Robertson with Lewis Young Robertson & Burningham; Gerald Anderson, Jeff Walker, Jerry Grover, and Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva

**6:01 PM WORK SESSION**

Mayor Farnworth opened the meeting at 6:01 PM. The invocation was given by Councilmember Flake.

**DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**3.1 Vineyard Recreation Program**– Students from the BYU Recreation Experience Design Lab will present a Feasibility Study and Implementation Plan for recreation programs in Vineyard along with their recommendations.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to City Treasurer Mariah Hill.

Ms. Hill gave a brief background on the reason she requested the recreation study. She then introduced BYU students Julia Hoyt, Marisa Rodriguez, Melissa Dalby, Elena Reeves, and Summer Choi and then turned the time over to them.

Highlights of their presentation were:

**Vineyard Demographics** "Fastest growing city in Utah"

- Population Size: 10,250 residents

- Structure: Young families of approximately four members, with children under the age of 14
- Future Growth: Population expected to rise rapidly

**Research:** What recreational programs are viable in the city of Vineyard?
- Collected data on possible recreation pursuits
- Sent out survey to Vineyard residents to gauge recreation interests. Received approximately 500 responses
- Evaluated survey data and selected top contending sports/programs to research
- Conducted research to discover recreation budgets of neighboring cities
- Created feasibility and implementation plans

**Survey Questions:**
1. How many people are in your family?
2. What ages are in your family and how many family members belong to those age groups?
3. What sports(s) do your family currently participate in?
4. What youth sport(s) would your family participate in if Vineyard City offered it?
5. What adult sport(s) would your family participate in if Vineyard City offered it?
6. Do you or anyone in your family have a gym membership?
7. Where is your gym membership to?
8. Where do you currently participate in youth and/or adult sports?
9. Do you know a business that would be interested in sponsoring youth sports?
10. Please share any additional comments regarding city recreation programming below

**Research Findings:**

Residents Already Participating In:

1. Youth Soccer
2. Water Sports (paddle boarding, canoeing, kayaking)
3. Races (triathlons, 5K's)
4. Youth Baseball
5. Youth Basketball
6. Adult Softball

What Residents Would Participate in if Vineyard Offered:

1. Youth Soccer
2. Youth Baseball
3. Youth Basketball
4. Water Sports
5. Races
6. Adult Softball
7. Indoor Rock climbing

**Recommendations:**

Recommend:
1. Youth Soccer
2. Races
3. Water Sports

Not Recommend:
1. Adult Softball
2. Youth Baseball
3. Youth Basketball
4. Indoor Rock Climbing

Youth Baseball: Not recommending
- High interested
- Inadequate available facilities
- High upfront costs

Youth Basketball: Not Recommending
- High Interest
- Inadequate Available Facilities

Adult Softball: Not Recommending
- Inadequate Available Facilities
- Low Operating Cost

Indoor Rock Climbing: Not Recommending
- High Upfront Cost
- Saturated Market
- Inadequate Available Facilities

Youth Soccer: Recommending
- High Interest
- Inclusivity
- Available Facilities
- High Growth Potential
- Budget
  - Costs: $14,860.00
  - Revenue: $27,000.00

Water Sports: Recommending
- High Interest
- Adult and Youth Participation
- Vineyard Beach Beautification Opportunities
- Relatively Low Cost of Staffing
- Low Maintenance Cost
- Budget
  - Costs:
    - Equipment: $17,115.00

Races: Recommending
- High interest
- Adult and Youth Participation
- Low Facilities Requirement
- Scenic Routes

**Recreation Employment**

Recreation Coordinator
- Full-time hours
- Salary: $35,235 - $52,728.00 ($16.25 - $25.00 per hour)

Interns
- Aid recreation coordinator
- 2-3 interns per season

Temporary Employees
- Referees, site supervisors, program instructors, event staff
- $14,000 per year ($7.25-$15.00 per hour)

Councilmember Riley noted that they had listed projected revenues for the soccer program and asked if they had any projected revenues for the other programs they were recommending. Ms. Reeves replied that for races it would depend on how many races they wanted to hold per year. Ms. Hoyt added that for water sports, they were recommending that it be a free service to the community but the city could charge a small fee. Ms. Choi stated that they were anticipating about 500 participants with a $50.00 entrance fee per race.

Resident Bryce Brady asked if there were any other sports/programs that they were recommending. Ms. Hill mentioned that is was a long list. A few items were dance, gymnastics, flag football, and a recreation center. Mr. McHargue stated that staff would take the work the group had done and put together a list of staff’s ideas and discuss it at the retreat.

**3.2 <u>Union Pacific</u> -** Nathan Anderson, Director of Public Affairs will be here to have a discussion with the council regarding the rail spur removal.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over to City Attorney David Church.

Mr. Church gave a brief explanation of the progress with the contract between Vineyard and Union Pacific (UP). He said that he had expressed to UP the council's concerns with increased costs, the delay, and the change in the rail spur configuration. He turned the time over to Nathan Anderson, Director of Public Affairs for Union Pacific Railroad, who introduced himself, Sue Hronek, Real Estate Manager, and Melissa Meier, the lead in Network and Economic Development.

Mr. Anderson stated that they could all agree that the project had not moved forward at the pace they had anticipated. He said that UP was committed to moving forward on the plan, which they felt was a good plan to realize the goals of the project. He said that if they did not proceed with the project it Could potential create problems that would disrupt the plan they had today. He said that their message to the council was that this was the right project and that it should move forward. He listed some of the valuable benefit aspects of the project:

- The ability for UDOT to widen Geneva Road
- The Vineyard Connector rail spur that moves from the "Provo Subdivision Line to the Provo Industrial Lead Line" and north of Geneva Nitrogen. This would allow UTA to move the commuter rail onto the "Provo Industrial Lead." Without the project moving forward there would be no connection to that portion of the rail.
- Geneva Nitrogen, things had changed with an acquisition and possible vacation of the property, which would create some freedom to change the spur line.
- UP understood that the costs had increased by as much as $800,000. Mr. Anderson stated that they could save some money if they do some things differently.

Mr. Anderson said that UP felt it was worth continuing to have discussions about how and when they move forward.  He suggested that they could enter into a new memorandum of understanding (MOU) that enumerated specific tasks, plans, contacts, etc., so they could move it forward. He apologized that with an organization as large as UP that things could bog down in bureaucracy. He said that his commitment was that if the city felt it was worthwhile, then UP would put the right emphasis on the project to work with the city to move it forward.

Mr. Church asked if they were at the point that they could say that they were not going to have to leave the spur on Geneva Road in front of Geneva Nitrogen (GN).  Councilmember Riley said that it would have inhibited an access point on 1200 North. Mr. Anderson said that as he understood it, GN would be moving their facility. Mr. Church explained that if they did not have to build a new spur for Geneva Nitrogen that would lower the price. He asked if they were to a point where the UP design team and the City Engineer had agreed upon on where the right-of-way (relocated lead) would be located. Ms. Hronek replied that as she understood it, they were at a 10% design level and ready to come to terms and jump it up to the 25% design level.  She said that one of the big issues was on the east end where the curvature was wrong. Mr. Church stated that Anderson Geneva left an area for it to go through, sold off the property around it, and then UP wanted to shift the line. Ms. Hronek replied that it was her understanding that the issue had been resolved. Mr. Church expressed concern with the timing and that they had missed a whole summer that they could have been constructing. He asked how fast UP could move if they moved it to the top of their priority list. Ms. Hronek explained that what was holding it up was the initial engineering construction and the issue with GN. She stated that now that they had the design claim for the relocated lead they were ready to take it to the next design level. She said that they had a plan if GN were to stay, without having to do any more. Mr. Church stated that the best thing for the city was if GN were to leave. He added that spending several hundreds of thousands of dollars would encourage them to stay longer. Mr. Anderson explained that UP was under a common carrier obligation. Mr. Church suggested that UP could require certain things in order to serve GN. Mr. Anderson said that he understood that GN was actively looking at other locations. He stated that in order to move from a 10 % to a 25 % concept design there was a time frame. He said that his commitment to the council and the city was to use the winter months to move things forward as fast as logistically possible. He said that he would let their engineers know that this needed to be their number one priority.

Councilmember Flake stated that he was tired of being jerked around. He said that for him if he was going to talk with them again they needed to come back with a cast-in-concrete plan and with light-speed movement. He explained that if he was jerked around one more time his vote would be no. He added that he was the biggest supporter and wanted the rail gone, but was not willing to do it this way.

Councilmember Riley felt that UP had to know that the council was dead serious about moving away from the project and doing other projects. He said that when the opportunity opened up for them to use the money on other projects that was serious for them. He said that when they had a way to resolve and take care of significant issues that required a lot of money it was a game changer for him. He wanted Mr. Anderson to let UP know that the city was dead serious about it and not playing games.

Mr. Anderson stated that he got the message loud and clear and would convey it to the corporate office. He asked if the other opportunities addressed the needs of the community in terms of development, and created a connection that did not exist today. He felt that connecting commuter rail was a priority.

Mayor Farnworth stated that, as a council, their top priorities were safety, health, and transportation for the city. He said that for the economy there were only two access points in and out of the city. He said that where the current rail spur was, there was a strip of land that could not be used for anything except transportation and trails. He asked why Vineyard was required to spend the money when they could not get UTA, UVU, UDOT, etc., involved. He added that they had to come up with the money and the bill just kept growing. He felt that the rail spur had nothing to do with public safety and health. He explained that if they could get the Center Street Overpass and the FrontRunner station built they could move a lot of people in and out of the city. He mentioned that they had been working on this issue for nine years which was too long to get something accomplished. He remarked that they had an at-grade crossing on 1600 North that was not a public crossing and added that there were other things that encumbered the ability to move people. He said that only cutting half of the rail spur out to take care of Geneva Nitrogen and charging the city the same amount did not seem to be a fair trade. He said the he understood that they would be saving money if they did not have to build the spur for the nitrogen plant, but there still needed to be a negotiation and discussion about the price. He said the they were not getting any help from the major entities and when they want to widen Geneva Road, UDOT would be asking for RDA money. He said that what they saw as a council was that if the developer cleaned the land up and brought the value up then the land would be more-costly than it was now. He felt that there needed to be some negotiation to understand why they would be paying $17 million or more and also charging the city for their engineers to review their own engineering. He concluded, restating that the top priority was the safety and health of the community.

Councilmember Goodman agreed with the mayor and stated that the council felt it was a done deal a year ago and UP had diddled around since then. He said that the council had become frustrated with that kind of response. He said he knew they were the Railroad and more important than the federal government in their minds and the council had a city that they were trying to run and grow and make the connections so people could move around safely. He said that if there was not a firm date that UP had to perform by, then the council should move on. He said that UP should get the job done and stop diddling around.  He felt that this was ridiculous and that there were a lot of things that were more important to the community than getting rid of the rail spur. He said that it might be more important to UDOT and other people, but they could pay for it when they were ready to widen Geneva Road.

Mayor Farnworth stated that he was tired of the fight. He said that he had asked Mr. McHargue if they were going to sit on the $16 million and pay the interest. That was when they talked with UDOT about what they could do with the money and were able to get concessions to use the money for other transportation projects.

Gerald Anderson with Anderson Geneva explained that he had been negotiating with UP since 2006 and turned the contract over to the city for approval. He said that Anderson Geneva did not care if the track was removed or not, but Walmart would not build next to it.  He added the he had already sold the property. He mentioned that he had negotiated with US Steel and would be building a CAMU in the spring. He explained that he had protected about 7 acres of land since 2006 and would not protect any other land. He stated that the CAMU would be built on the right-of-way unless the RDA purchases the land. He said that they had to have UDOT sit down with UP on the 800 North crossing to get approvals. He said that Senator Curtis Bramble stated that if UP did not move the project forward he would pass legislation to would allow the city to condemn the property. He felt that it was a UP strategy because they had been selling ground because their revenues were down. He stated that they had been patient and were not going do it anymore. He said that they were not going to hold the ground if UP did not get moving on it. He stated that taking 11 years to move a spur that runs two trains a week was not acting in good faith. He felt it was an attempt to maximize the grab and that there was nothing fair in the negotiations.

Mr. Church felt that they needed to get the changes made as soon as possible with good dates and include a firm location for the spur. He said that they needed to make it a top priority for the city. He reminded UP that UDOT was allowing them to use the loan for other transportation projects.

Councilmember Flake stated that the ground was spoiled and doubted UP’s ability to perform. Mr. Church commented that they needed to have a firm time that the spur was to leave Geneva Road. Nathan Anderson stated that it would involve discussion with GN and he was not privy to those negotiations. Mr. Church said that he had been getting calls from developers wanting a deal.

**OPEN SESSION –** Citizens' Comments

Mayor Farnworth called for public comments. Hearing none, he closed the session.

**PLANNING COMMISSION UPDATE AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL –** Planning Commission Chair Chris Judd – Chair Judd reported that the Planning Commission had received a conditional use permit for a home-based preschool in the Willows subdivision.

He reported that the commission had looked at a site plan amendment for the Lincoln Square apartments. He said that they wanted to do an amendment to the architectural drawings which were approved with stipulations. Mayor Farnworth asked about their parking. Chair Judd explained that they had kept the original approval valid and could not address parking. He said that the amendment was for the reduction in architectural types such as stucco. Mr. Brim said that drawings had a large expanse of stucco and one of the conditions was that the drawings have the same variety as originally approved. He stated that the city did not want the large amount of stucco and wanted to have more of a broken-up look. He mentioned that the developer had a land disturbance permit and had applied for a footings and foundation permit which they could not get without meeting the Planning Commission conditions.

Chair Judd stated that the Planning Commission had recommended approval of the Preliminary Plat for the Geneva Retail Frontage subdivision with stipulations.

Chair Judd reported that the 2018 Planning Commission schedule for 2018 was approved with a start time of 6 PM.

**MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS/DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS**
There were no new reports.

**STAFF REPORTS**
<u>City Manager/Finance Director – Jacob McHargue</u> – Mr. McHargue reported that at the next Utah Valley Dispatch meeting they would be discussing how to address the district adding Juab County. He reported that staff would be meeting with UTA to discuss the FrontRunner station. He said that he and the mayor would be meeting with the Utah Lake Commission to talk about plans for dredging the lake.

<u>Public Works Director/Engineer – Don Overson</u> – Mr. Overson reminded the council that the city had to get a permit to cross the railroad tracks when building the Center Street overpass. He reported that they had opened up the plans for the overpass and knew exactly how long it would take to finish it.

Mr. Overson reported that they were moving forward with the train station parking and extension of Main Street. He said that they had finished the street lights on Vineyard and Loop Road, and planned on getting the Christmas decorations up before Thanksgiving. He reported that they were installing streetlights on Mill Road. He said that they had finished 650 North, which should be opening in a couple of weeks.

City Attorney – David Church – Mr. Church had no new items to report.

Utah County Sheriff's Department – Sergeant Holden Rockwell – Sergeant Rockwell presented his quarterly report. Highlights of the report were:

| Year 2017 | Total Cad Calls | Officer Generated Calls | Public Generated Calls | Incidents | Arrests | Traffic Stops | Violations | Citations |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2nd Qtr. | 1138 | 576 | 562 | 338 | 40 | 192 | 170 | 104 |
| 3rd Qtr. | 1280 | 637 | 643 | 322 | 41 | 452 | 169 | 106 |

**Response time 2nd Qtr.**
Priority 1-2 5:20
Priority 1-2 369

**Response time 3rd Qtr.**
Priority 1-2 2:53
Priority 1-2 528

**Nature of Incidents 2nd Qtr.**
Burglary/Theft 33
Assault/Domestic Violence 16
Drugs/Alcohol 10

**Nature of Incidents 3rd Qtr.**
Burglary/Theft 21
Assault/DV 26
Drugs/Alcohol 18

Councilmember Riley asked about the types of stops they were making. Sergeant Rockwell replied that they were mostly for speeding, registration, and stop sign violations. He added that at night they were looking for headlight, turn signal, and taillight violations to help get the DUI offenders off of the road.

Sergeant Rockwell presented a map showing where the different types of crimes were being committed in the city for the 3rd Qtr.

Sergeant Rockwell reported that Deputy Peterson had made an arrest on thefts in the Edgewater Townhomes area. He encouraged everyone to remove packages from their porches as quickly as possible.

Community Development Director – Morgan Brim – Mr. Brim reported that he had been working with two student groups. The group from BYU would be providing the city with a general land use plan, and had proposed how they could take the UVU master plan and the Geneva property and make connections. He explained that the UVU plan had not been facilitated with the city and that the BYU group would trying to make the plan more functional with the train station and with what Anderson Geneva would like to do.

Mr. Brim explained that the UVU group would be looking at an open space plan for the promenade where it meets Utah Lake to the west, taking in the Utah Lake trail and developing open space around the trail system.

Mr. Brim reported that the Planning Department intern was developing an FYI Questions and Answers pamphlet about fencing, parking, etc., to provide a greater level of communication to the public.

Mr. Brim reported that they had completed a General Plan Evaluation Report. He explained that it took the General Plan and the State Code to determine where the General Plan needed to be updated. He said that it also gave them a timeline and a recommendation on how to develop the General Plan and the elements that should be added to it. He said that they had provided council with a copy of the report and had planned a joint work session with Planning Commission and City Council to discuss the report and what the council would like to see in the General Plan. He said that the plan was to do most of the updating in-house, but that there were areas that they might need to have studies done. He said that they would talk about developing a graphical parks plan.

Mr. Brim reported that he had been in preliminary meetings with Orem City about the Geneva Road Master Plan.

Mr. Brim reported that he met with EDCUtah and would be setting up monthly meetings. They would lay out the projects that Vineyard could bid on and then strategize in their monthly meetings. He said that most of the current development projects wanted to be in the ground now.

Mr. Brim reported that the Mill Town Retail Center was in the process of relocating the utilities and hoped to be ready to build within the next month. He mentioned that he had been working with O'Reilly Auto Parts.

City Recorder – Pamela Spencer – Ms. Spencer reported that the preliminary results from the 2017 Municipal General Election had been released with updates coming. She mentioned that the results of the election had to be certified on November 21 and asked what time council would like to hold the special session. Council agreed to hold it at 5 pm.

Building Official – George Reid – Mr. Reid presented his monthly report. He mentioned that it had been a slow month compared to past months. Highlights of the report were:

- Total revenue for August $ 48,570
- Estimated revenue $131,065
- Units issued permits 15
- Total units occupied 2,878
- Population estimate (conservative) 11,168
- Building applications received 35
- Applications in review 83
- Plans approved 51
- Active construction sites 612
- Total inspections 942

Councilmember Flake asked about the approval times. Mr. Reid replied that they took about 8½ days to approve, which was about where it should be.

Mr. Reid reported that for the Public Safety Building basement finish, the contractor had cut the holes had been cut last week and would be backfilling for the stairs which should be poured next week, and then they would start on the interior.

Water/Sewer Operator Sullivan Love – Mr. Love had no new items to report.

**7:18 PM REGULAR SESSION**

Mayor Farnworth opened the regular session at 7:18 PM.

**MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS**
No appointments were submitted.

**CONSENT ITEMS**
a) Approval of the October 25, 2017 City Council Meeting Minutes

Mayor Farnworth called for motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT ITEM. COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**BUSINESS ITEMS**
**10.1 DISCUSSOIN AND ACTION – Preliminary Plat Approval for the Geneva Retail Frontage Subdivision**

Jerry Grover, with Anderson Geneva Development, is requesting approval for a preliminary plat. The proposed property is located at 350 N. Geneva Road and is within the Regional Mixed-Use zoning district. The applicant is proposing to subdivide the property into eleven (11) lots. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mr. Brim explained the subdivision request. He said that Planning Commission recommended approval with the standard conditions. He mentioned that O'Reilly Auto Parts wanted to purchase Lot 11. He said that this subdivision would provide ownership and break up the lots to sell off to developers.

Mayor Farnworth asked if the lots would be reviewed individually as they develop them. Mr. Brim explained that if there was a larger user they could consolidate lots. They were divided to provide a standard commercial lot.

Councilmember Riley asked if they were deep lots. Mr. Brim replied that they were. Mr. Judd explained that the city had recently changed the requirement that the buildings pull up close to the road so that the deep lots could have parking in the rear. Mr. Brim said that there would be some uses that would require them to come to the road, but they were working on having a flexible easement with a plat amendment, depending on the type of use.

Mayor Farnworth asked if there were any concerns with the plume from Parish Chemical coming into the other properties. Jerry Grover with Anderson Geneva replied that the ground water went from east to west so there were no concerns.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED GENEVA RETAIL FRONTAGE PRELIMINARY PLAT WITH THE PROPOSED CONDITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**10.2 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – <u>Purchase of Robins Property</u>**

City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue will present the proposal for the purchase of property located just west of Gammon Park. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mr. McHargue mentioned that he was still awaiting signatures. He explained that the city had been in negotiations to purchase 10.7 acres to the west of City Hall. He said that staff was able to come to terms with the owners. He said that the current intent for the land use would be for park space. He said that the purchase agreement was for $2,750,000 with an addendum to agree to purchase the water rights at a set price. He added that there were issues with the water rights and that they had filed a change order.

Mr. McHargue mentioned that in the negotiations the owners wanted a firm commitment that land would be used for park space, which was what the mother, Margaret Robins had wished. He said that the city felt that if they were paying full price for the land they should leave the use options open. He added that the money would come from the Capital Projects Fund.

Mr. Church asked if the family had good title with the recent death of Margaret Robins. He felt that the family was committed to selling the land to the city. Mr. McHargue said that there was a time-period clause to allow the city to do their due diligence. Councilmember Flake asked how long it would take to figure out the water rights. Mr. Church replied that it was unknown. He thought that there would be a decrease in the amount of water rights, which transfers to wet water.

Resident Dave Robins read the following statement:

November 8, 2017

Mayor Farnworth and the Vineyard Town Council, Thank you for the opportunity to address you this evening. May I take a few moments to introduce Margaret Robins to those who may not have known her. Margaret was born in Vineyard in 1923, and lived most of her life here in Vineyard. She passed away in May of this year. She had deep roots in Vineyard. Her Great-grandfather was one of the first farmers in Vineyard; her parents Clarence and Leah Gammon farm is where the town park and Franklin Discovery school now stand. She had seen quite a lot of changes in Vineyard during her life time, from the beautiful farm lands of her childhood to the building and then razing of Geneva Steel and also the development and the growth of Vineyard, seeing many great people moving into town.

She had a love for her community and wanted to leave a family legacy for the citizens of the town. She left her family specific instruction on what to do with the remaining portion of the family farm. It was her desire to sell the land to the Town of Vineyard and for it be used for open space to benefit the citizen of Vineyard for generations to come. She did not want the land to be purchased simply for resale to a private developer.

It is the family's understanding that the town leaders also desire that the land will be used for the
public uses. We are indeed grateful that we have been able to come to an agreement that we will be able to comply with Margaret's wishes, that the family farm will be able to be used by the citizens of Vineyard for generations to come.

Thank you,

The Robins Family

Councilmember Riley stated for the record, that with the Lakeside Park property there had been a similar arrangement made between Orem City and a landowner. At some point the Orem City Council tried to sell the property that was supposed to be open space. It would have been a massive amount of high density apartments on that property. The landowner was available to attend the meeting and let the current council members know that an agreement had been made. He said that because of that, the Lakeside park was built, which he felt had been a blessing to the area. He hoped that future councils would likewise abide by this agreement.

Councilmember Goodman asked for clarification about the use of the property. He asked if it would be acceptable to use the land for a senior or youth center, etc. Mr. Robins replied that yes, it would be acceptable to the family to use it for such public uses, and not strictly for park space, although the more open space, the better, to comply with his mother's wishes. He thanked Mayor Farnworth and Councilmember Riley for their work on making this purchase possible.

Mr. McHargue commented that the people who were leasing the ground for farming would like to continue using the land until the city was ready to develop it.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR AND CITY TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE PURCHASE AGREEMENT OF THE ROBINS' PROPERTY. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**10.3 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Amended Utah County Sheriff's Contract**

City Manager Jacob McHargue will present the amended contract with the Utah County Sheriff's Office. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth turned the time over City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue Mr. McHargue mentioned that the County Commission was revising all of their contracts. He explained that this revision addressed true and actual costs. He said that the county felt that they were subsidizing cities. Mr. McHargue felt that where they had dedicated resources that they were already paying the true and actual costs. He added that the agreement was a year-to-year agreement and felt that they should just wait until the current contract ended. He said that staff felt that there could be savings this first year.

Councilmember Riley asked if Mr. Church had any comments. Mr. Church stated that there was not much bargaining power. He said that they did not have the staff to audit the county. Sergeant Rockwell mentioned that the city could request to audit their books at any time. Mr. Church explained that he was talking about time and expertise and that they had to trust the county's methodology. Mayor Farnworth stated that it would a housekeeping thing that would change yearly.

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO APPROVE THE UTAH COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE LAW ENFORCEMENT CONTRACT IN THIS LATEST ITERATION. COUNCILMEMBER GOODMAN SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**10.4 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – 2018 City Council Meeting Schedule**

City Recorder Pamela Spencer will present the suggested City Council meeting schedule for the 2018 calendar year. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Farnworth gave an overview of the schedule. He called for a motion.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER RILEY MOVED TO APPROVE THE 2018 CITY COUNCIL MEETING SCHEDULE AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

**CLOSED SESSION**

No closed session was held.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Farnworth called for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

**Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 7:43 PM. COUNCILMEMBER FULLMER SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FARNWORTH, COUNCILMEMBERS FLAKE, FULLMER, GOODMAN, AND RILEY WERE IN FAVOR. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MINUTES APPROVED ON: December 13, 2017

CERTIFIED CORRECT BY: /s/ Pamela Spencer
PAMELA SPENCER, CITY RECORDER