

VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING
City Council Chambers
125 South Main Street, Vineyard, Utah
October 9, 2019 at 6:01 PM

Present

Mayor Julie Fullmer
Councilmember John Earnest
Councilmember Tyce Flake
Councilmember Chris Judd

Absent

Councilmember Nate Riley

Staff Present: City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue, Public Works Director/City Engineer Don Overson, Assistant City Engineer Chris Wilson, Community Development Director Morgan Brim, City Planner Elizabeth Hart, Planning Technician McKenna Marchant, Sergeant Holden Rockwell with the Utah County Sheriff's Office, Building Official George Reid, Water/Parks Manager Sullivan Love, City Recorder Pamela Spencer, Planning Commissioners Anthony Jenkins and Tay Gudmundson

Others Speaking: Jim Price with Mountainland Association of Governments; Residents Jessica Colyar and Amanda Peterson

6:00 PM REGULAR SESSION

1. CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

INVOCATION/INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT – to be announced.

Mayor Fullmer opened the meeting at 6:01 PM. She led the Pledge of Allegiance and gave the invocation.

2. PRESENTATION – MAG Transportation Plan

Jim Price with Mountainland Association of Governments will present an update about their transportation plan.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Jim Price with Mountainland Association of Governments (MAG) for his presentation.

Mr. Price explained the different services that MAG offered. He said that they work with all levels of government. He mentioned that Mayor Fullmer sat on the MAG Transportation Planning Executive Board. He handed out copies of the 2050 Transportation Plan. He said that as a board member the mayor was tasked with representing the interests of Vineyard.

Mr. Price reviewed the maps in the 2050 Plan:

- The Highways Map, which showed what the priorities were in roadways for the next 30 years. Mr. Price said that they updated the process every 4 years.

- Bicycle Pedestrian Access Map, which showed active transportation routes which would give people the ability to get around without having to get in their cars. Mr. Price said that this was a quality of life issue for Utah County. This plan would only go for 20 years.
- Transit Map, which showed included commuter rail (FrontRunner), light rail (TRAX), and bus rapid transit (UVX). Mr. Price said that UVX had been a huge success and that they were looking at studies to broaden its reach. He mentioned that UVX was less expensive to install and run than TRAX. He reviewed the costs. Councilmember Earnest asked if the success was mostly attributed to students. Mr. Price replied that it was. He added that the ridership dropped off by about two-thirds during the summer months.

Mayor Fullmer asked Mr. Price to talk a little bit about the “to be determined” portion of the study. Mr. Price explained that there were four colors on the maps: red, green, blue, and yellow. He said that the yellow was the “to be determined” or what they called “vision.” He said that “vision” went beyond 2050. Mayor Fullmer explained that there was a UVU study that would determine if Vineyard would get UVX services in the future. Mr. Price added that the “to be determined” was because they had not been able to come to an exact time as to when things should happen. He pointed to a section on the map for a road in Lehi where the city could not determine where they wanted it to go. He said that with the UVU study they were trying to come to a consensus with UTA, MAG, and Vineyard.

Mr. Brim asked why the south part of the Utah Lake Trail was not shown on the “vision” part of the plan. Mr. Price replied that they had been working with the Utah Lake Commission to determine where it would go. He explained that because there was little to no development south of the Provo, Spanish Fork, and Payson areas, there was no local demand. He said that it would be a destination trail, so they were working on the trails that would have the highest demands. He said that they were looking at some alignments that may work in the south and west areas and that they were also working with The Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands. He added that most of the land was still being farmed and that they needed to work with the private landowners. Mr. Brim felt that the south area should at least be designated so if any of that land did develop, they would have a “vision” line. Mr. Price explained that as soon as they had a consensus alignment from the National Parks Service, they would add it to the vision line. He thought that it would be finished by next year and adopted into the future transportation plan.

Councilmember Flake asked if Walkara Way was included in the plan. Mr. Price replied that it was included.

Councilmember Earnest asked what the priority was for the goals on page 10 of the plan. Mr. Price replied that they were not in order of priority. He said that one of the most important things was that they develop a grid network of highways. He explained that they needed to plan for future road congestion. He said that Utah County did not have the roadway system needed to handle as many people as were predicted to live in the county by 2050.

Mayor Fullmer suggested that people contact MAG if they had any questions. She thanked Mr. Price for coming.

3. RECREATION, ARTS, AND PARKS TAX (RAP) – Public Comments

This time is allotted to the general public for comments “for” or “against” the RAP Tax question that will be on the upcoming ballot, Proposition #2.

Mayor Fullmer opened the session to hear public comments for and against the RAP Tax.

Councilmember Earnest read the City Council's argument for the tax:

"Vineyard City has a wonderful heritage and we believe recreation, arts, and parks (RAP) are integral parts to that heritage as we continue to grow. With the beautiful parks we have such as Gammon Park and Vineyard Grove Park along with the growing trail system along with a growth within our recreation program we see these areas as a part of our heritage. They create opportunities for families and residents to interact and recreate and create lasting memories. As the community continues to grow, we see the needs of our residents within recreation, arts, and parks (RAP) will also continue to grow at a rapid pace. To keep pace with this growth and with the intent to provide well-maintained parks/trails, cultural activities, and meaningful recreation programs, the Vineyard City Council unanimously approved placing a Proposition on the ballot, which would enact a Recreation, Arts & Parks (RAP) Tax in Vineyard City.

The RAP Tax is a sales tax, NOT a property tax, and would be paid at the point of purchase for all sales-tax eligible purchases in Vineyard City. The RAP Tax would be an increase of 0.1% to the sales tax rate, increasing from 6.75% to 6.85%. This equates to one penny for every \$10 spent. All funds generated by the RAP Tax could only be used to either expand or supplement RAP offerings in Vineyard City.

Since it is a sales tax, Vineyard residents will not be the only ones who pay the RAP Tax. Anyone who shops in Vineyard City will be required to pay the tax. This is very important for our city considering how Vineyard is centrally located within Utah County and is poised to have great retail development growth in the near future on top of our local area attractions that are currently pulling in residents from neighboring cities.

RAP Taxes are not new to the state or county. If you currently shop in Provo, Orem, American Fork, Spanish Fork, Washington County (St. George) or Salt Lake County, you are currently paying the RAP Tax and helping fund recreation, arts, and parks in those communities.

The RAP Tax will help Vineyard City to do more than what has been currently offered in our city and will help to keep up with prudent replacement and maintenance of existing parks/trails/etc. We unanimously support the RAP Tax Proposition. We are confident that Vineyard will keep and expound on its exceptional heritage with the benefits that will be reaped from this RAP Tax."

Vineyard City Council
Mayor Julie Fullmer
Councilmember John Earnest
Councilmember Tyce Flake
Councilmember Chris Judd
Councilmember Nate Riley

Resident Jessica Colyar living in The Maples subdivision asked if there would be a campaign for the tax. Mr. Church replied no, the city could not spend public funds to advocate for or against the tax. Mr. McHargue explained that the city had mailed a voter information pamphlet to registered voters and that it had also been sent out with the newsletter.

4. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Resident Amanda Peterson living in the Parkside subdivision asked what the changes were that were being made to the hill in Vineyard Grove Park. She suggested that they use xeriscaping

and suggested the plants that could be used on the hill. She felt that the grade was good for the residents and they could keep it the same height. Mayor Fullmer said that Ms. Peterson had great suggestions and comments and suggested that she get in contact with the Public Works Department to get further details on the hill and to give them her recommendations.

Ms. Collier explained that they had a tree that was damaged in her subdivision by a car accident and now another car had hit the light by it. She asked who she needed to talk to about the timeline for getting the tree replaced and the light repaired. Mayor Fullmer suggested that she speak with Public Works Director Don Overson.

Mayor Fullmer called for further comments. Hearing none, she closed the public session.

5. MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS' REPORTS/DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS

Mayor Fullmer reported that the Lieutenant Governor would coming to Vineyard on Friday to tour the city and work on a service project. She invited residents to attend the event Friday at Gammon Park at 10 AM. She mentioned that people would be putting books on the shelves at the nonprofit lending library.

6. STAFF, COMMISSION, AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

City Manager/Finance Director – Jacob McHargue – Mr. McHargue reported that staff had completed the priority list that council had asked for and asked council to follow up with staff once they had reviewed them. He reported that they had two economic development proposals, which would be added to a future agenda for approval. He reported that he met with Jeff Speck, a walkability expert about the Town Center. Mr. McHargue mentioned that they were currently living streaming the city council meeting on the Vineyard City YouTube channel.

Planning Commission Chair – Cristy Welsh – Ms. Welsh was excused. Planning Commission Vice Chair Anthony Jenkins reported that at the last Planning Commission meeting they had approved a site plan for the Waters Edge Clubhouse 2. He mentioned that the clubhouse was smaller than the first clubhouse in the development, but with a bigger pool. He said that it was designed to be more of a fitness center rather than a gathering place. He mentioned that they had cancelled the Planning Commission meeting that had been scheduled for next week.

Planning Technician McKenna Marchant – Ms. Marchant explained that this was a biannual report about code enforcement. She said that when people submitted complaints they were divided into departments and that they had received 100 requests since the report in February. She said that the complaints came from citizens and staff members. She stated that the biggest complaints were about short-term rentals and weeds. She gave a breakdown of the categories. She mentioned that the building department handled their own code enforcement.

Ms. Marchant explained that staff had been attending the townhome and condominium homeowners' association (HOA) meetings to help create relationships with them. She said that common problems with the HOAs were graffiti, animals/waste, occupancy, and parking. She mentioned that they had updated the code to reflect the leash laws and animal defecation. She

said that Edgewater had limited their occupancy to three unrelated people. She added that the other HOAs were requesting that the city limit occupancy to three unrelated people as well, but staff felt that they needed to wait until UVU had a bigger footprint in the city.

Ms. Marchant reported that they had had their Neighborhood Watch test group in the Sleepy Ridge subdivision. One item of feedback for the city, was that Neighborhood Watch participants were asking for what types of vehicles were and were not permitted on streets. She added that The Lochs and The Meadows subdivisions were going through their screening process to start a group.

Ms. Marchant explained that the city's goal was to help people come into compliance and not be charged any fees. She said that business licensing had the highest fees from just two businesses. Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU) fees and the occupancy fees went hand in hand so they gave the homeowners 30 days to come into compliance. She added that they charged the violation fee immediately.

Ms. Marchant explained that they would continue adding block captains in the Neighborhood Watch program. They would be meeting, as a group, with the block captains to empower them to go through their neighborhoods and know what they could and could not do. She mentioned that staff would be asking for a full-time code enforcement officer.

Councilmember Judd asked if Vineyard had any landlords who were paying the fines but not coming into compliance with the occupancy requirements. Ms. Marchant replied that the city would file a lien on the homes once the fines reached \$1,000. Councilmember Judd mentioned that Provo had passed an ordinance to make it a criminal infraction. Mr. Church explained that Vineyard could proceed against code violators through the code enforcement civil system or through the criminal courts depending on the circumstances. He said that the goal was compliance.

Mr. Brim felt that Ms. Marchant had done a good job working with those who were not in compliance. He said that she had been able to work with people so that about two thirds of the violations had been taken care of over the phone.

City Recorder – Pamela Spencer – Ms. Spencer announced that there would be a live ranked choice voting demonstration on Tuesday October 15 at 6:30 PM. She reminded everyone to check their voter registration.

7. DISCUSSION ITEMS

7.1 Town Center Master Development Agreement

Pete Evans with Anderson Geneva will present the proposed Master Development Agreement for the Town Center. This item is for discussion only, no action will be taken.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Pete Evans with Anderson Geneva.

Mr. Evans mentioned that the land donation and development agreement had been submitted to the city. He felt that there had been some great discussions with the city. He said that they had two separate groups working together to help with the development plan. The first group was Jeff Speck and his team, who specialized in helping to plan urban areas to evaluating how the roads, buildings, and land uses tied together and be more pedestrian friendly and healthier. He added that they were looking at active transportation. The second group was DPZ, a land planning firm that they retained to help plan the inner-connections between the areas. He said that the companies were looking at the connections between the backbone roads using urban planning best practices. He added that they were also looking at “New Urbanism” which goes back to traditional town structures where they have walkable mixed uses.

Councilmember Earnest asked if there had been any changes since they saw the original plan. Mr. Evans replied no. He mentioned that the two groups would be back in November for a design charrette and invited council and staff to attend.

Councilmember Flake stated that he was not ready to make a decision until he had a better picture of the plan. He felt that this was the end of the city planning, it needed to be done right, and that they needed more details. Mr. Evans felt that council had already approved the details through the Form-based code. He explained that when they had done Waters Edge, they came in with a zoning code as part of the development agreement to work out the details. He felt that the details were already flushed out in the Form-based code that had been adopted into the Zoning Ordinance.

Councilmember Earnest asked about the pictures he saw earlier, highlighting what the walkable Town Center would look like. Mr. Evans explained that they had shown him some examples of the architecture they anticipated going in the Town Center. He added that they were still at the planning stages and would bring them in, block by block, during site planning submittals.

Mayor Fullmer asked how phasing the roads would affect the plan. Mr. Evans replied that they would build the roads at the pace that the city required them, but did not want to maintain roads that were not being used. He said that they were going to focus on the areas along Main Street and the loop that goes towards the train station. Mayor Fullmer asked if they had talked about roads that were dead ending. Mr. Evans replied that there was only one road that dead-ended. He explained that when he met with UTA and UDOT for the surface parking it created that dead-end road. He mentioned that the plan was to have a trail put on the west side of the railroad track to allow for a pedestrian connection from the parking lot to the train station.

Mayor Fullmer asked for an overview of the base infrastructure. Mr. Evans explained that the proposed roads would be backbone infrastructure along with the utilities. He said that there would also be significant connections within the blocks with private streets, plazas, and green spaces that would interconnect. He said that for the park structure, they would develop the promenade all at once, so that it would be cohesive in its plan. The developer would pay the first \$1.25 million and the rest would be reimbursable by the RDA. The park to the north would be entirely reimbursable, with the ground being donated.

Mr. Church explained that everyone had to start with the city’s zoning ordinance and that the purpose of the agreement was so that the landowner could rely on the zoning ordinance and commit the city to it. He said that another purpose of the agreement was to identify what the city considered backbone infrastructure, because the city was being asked to participate through RDA

funds. Another purpose of the agreement was to commit the landowner to make some dedications of property for future parks, open spaces, roads, and backbone infrastructures. The idea was that the city and the developer were being committed to the Town Center Form-based code. He explained that the code included details on setbacks, densities, etc., and would not be laid out in the development agreement unless there were specific changes made. The development agreement would refer to the existing ordinances and would commit the developer to an order of operation. It would make sure that the city did not get things in the development that could not be serviced such as if the residential came in faster than the commercial and the tax revenue could not take care of the needs. The agreement also would anticipate an economic downturn that may stop the growth and have the development be successful in spite of it. They needed to know what the codes said and what the developer could do and then the agreement would be on top of what the code was. He explained that the roads that had been discussed were not all of the road that would go in the development and that the architectural details would come in the future. Mr. Evans stated that they had worked closely with staff on the agreement and that they had committed to build the promenade within 18 months of city approval.

Mayor Fullmer asked why they were not thinking 'base infrastructure' for the north park. Mr. Evans replied that the north park was a repository for environmentally impacted material. Mr. Church explained that it was open space with limitations. Mayor Fullmer asked if the green space to the north accounted for the required green space in the code. Mr. Brim replied that the code required 20 percent of the entire development. He explained the open space code. He said that he would look to see if it qualified. Mr. Church said that to some extent density was dependent on improvements and open space.

There was a discussion about the Form-based code. Mr. Brim said that they would research the code for density, open space, and allowances. Councilmember Judd wanted staff and the council do a thorough review of the code. The discussion continued.

There was a discussion about the open space to the north, the cleanup of the land, and what amenities could be added to it. Mr. Evans mentioned that the green/open space located inside the blocks would not be reimbursable.

Councilmember Judd commented that this was the bulk of the RDA, it was the densest area of the city, and he was hoping that there would be a lot of retail, offices, and people coming in and out of the area. He said that the city needed to understand what they were committing to on total buildout. Mr. McHargue replied that he had a meeting scheduled with Laura Lewis from Lewis Young Robertson and Burningham for this Friday to go through the numbers.

Councilmember Judd said that he wanted to see any redline comments in the agreement. Mr. McHargue said that staff would work through the agreement, the Town Center Code, etc., and get the council a redline copy by next week.

Mayor Fullmer asked for Mr. Evans thoughts on parking. Mr. Evans felt that the parking was an important characteristic of the urban environment they were trying to create. He said that at some point they would have structured parking to allow taller and more dense uses around them. He explained that it would be dual use parking, shared between office and residential. He said that he hired DPZ to help understand where to locate the parking structures, what uses should be around them, and how to make it walkable. He felt that the FrontRunner stop was a big deal and they wanted to create an environment around the mass transit. Mayor Fullmer asked how he saw it as a shared cost with the backbone and non-infrastructure parking. Mr. Evans replied that the surface parking was non-reimbursable but the structured parking was reimbursable.

Councilmember Flake felt that when the code was put together in 2015, it was not what he was seeing today. He stated that he was not happy with it and they needed to present to him a clear, no crap picture of what was there. He explained that he had sat in meetings with the previous owner who had presented plans that he felt were rammed down their throats, with little or no preparation. He stated that he did not want to see that again. Mr. Evans said that they hired someone who was an expert on Form-based code to go through the city's code and present it to them. He said that they wanted to make sure they were on the same page and working within the rules that were already there. Councilmember Flake stated the he wanted to know what they were doing before he would agree to it.

Mr. Brim said that he knew there was frustration with the code. He felt that they had identified most of the issues and inconsistencies in the code and that the development agreement would try to rectify some of those issues. They wanted to know what they would be investing the RDA resources in. He said that they would have a clear, concise development agreement that would lay out to some level of detail what they would be getting.

Mayor Fullmer said that part of coming up with backbone structure was determining what was backbone infrastructure what would incentivize companies to come.

Mayor Fullmer wanted to know the ratios in the different areas, from housing to commercial. Mr. Evans replied that those were defined in the code. He said that there were set ratios between residential and nonresidential uses. He explained that it phased from east to west and south to north. He said that in the north there was no residential in the office area, more residential towards the train station, more commercial use on the west, etc. He said that his opinion was that they could not do this project in isolation and only have commercial; there needed to be residential. He felt that this was the code anticipated in and wanted to make sure it was supported by the market. He said that they had discussions with staff about when things would be put in, and what would go in first. They needed to make sure they were thinking through the long-term viability of the Town Center.

Mr. McHargue mentioned that staff had dug deep into the code and planned on making a presentation about what the code meant. Mayor Fullmer asked what was the process if they wanted to change the ratios and if they could do it in the development agreement. Mr. Church replied that they could make the changes, if they all agreed to it.

Councilmember Judd felt that this was the most important thing they may have ever worked on and that it would impact the future of the city. He asked if they were making sure everyone was interpreting the code the same way. He felt that an overview would be good. Mr. McHargue felt that communication with the developer had not been an issue.

Mr. Evans summarized that as they had their consultants look at the code, they had looked at the ratios and felt that it would be better if they had more residential. Mayor Fullmer wondered how a business district without any residential would function in a walkable community. She asked if they could switch it from one zone to another and wanted to see more research on it. Mr. Evans felt that they needed to get going on the train station and roads. He also felt that the plan did all of the things that they wanted it to do. As they looked at the individual blocking, they should work together to improve it.

Mayor Fullmer recapped the discussion. She said that they would be looking at base level improvement as far as open space and what that meant, parking and infrastructure, finance and

sustainability, phasing of roads, redlines of the items the city did not want, clear parameters in the documents they received, housing and commercial ratios, timelines, and staff findings.

8. CONSENT ITEMS

- 8.1** Approval of the September 11, 2019 City Council Meeting Minutes
- 8.2** Approval of the September 25, 2019 City Council Meeting Minutes
- 8.3** Approval of the Lakefront @ Vineyard Town Center Backbone Road Dedication Final Plat
- 8.4** Approval of the Lakefront @ Vineyard Town Center Final Plat 2B
- 8.5** Approval of the Lakefront @ Vineyard Town Center Final Plat 2A
- 8.6** Approval of the Lakefront @ Vineyard Town Center Final Plat Club House

Mayor Fullmer called for a motion.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO APPROVE THE CONSENT ITEMS AS PRESENTED. COUNCILMEMBER JUDD SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, AND JUDD VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY WAS ABSENT. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

9. MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS

No names were submitted.

10. BUSINESS ITEMS

10.1 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Follow-Up Items from Council Meetings

Mayor Julie Fullmer will present a request to collect from staff and councilmembers any follow-up items/tasks from each City Council and RDA meeting and have them sent to the city recorder for compilation and distribution. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to City Manager Jacob McHargue.

Mr. McHargue said that the council and staff wanted to do a better job of following up on items brought up during the public comments session. He said that any items that come up in the meeting be emailed to staff so they can be followed up on and included in the staff reports.

Councilmember Judd asked for further explanation. He said that for example, they had two residents make comments with questions that they did not respond to. He asked if they would get a list of the questions from the meetings. Mr. McHargue said that they would get a list along with the responses. He said that the follow up might happen during the week and may not be reported on during a city council meeting. Councilmember Judd asked what if other people wanted to hear those answers. Mr. McHargue suggested that things that were relevant could be mentioned in their staff reports at the next meeting. Mayor Fullmer said that a list would be collected and sent out to staff and council for follow-up.

10.2 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Cancel the October 23, 2019 City Council Meeting

City Manager Jacob Mchargue is requesting that the mayor and City Council cancel the October 23, 2019 City Council meeting. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Fullmer explained that this item was to cancel the October 23 City Council meeting due to a lack of a quorum.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED TO CANCEL THE OCTOBER 23 CITY COUNCIL MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, AND JUDD VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY WAS ABSENT. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

10.3 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Set a Date and Time to hold City Council and Redevelopment Agency (RDA) Board Special Sessions (15 minutes)

City Manager Jacob McHargue is requesting that the mayor and City Council set a date and time to hold City Council and RDA Special Sessions. The recommended date and time is October 16, 2019, at 4:00 PM for the City Council meeting, with the RDA meeting following immediately thereafter. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

Mayor Fullmer suggested that they hold the meeting at 4:00 PM so she could be there. There was a discussion about needing a quorum present in order to hold the meeting.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED TO SET THE DATE AND TIME TO HOLD THE CITY COUNCIL AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD MEETINGS ON OCTOBER 16, WITH THE TIME TO BE DETERMINED. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, AND JUDD VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY WAS ABSENT. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

11. CLOSED SESSION

No closed session was held.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Fullmer called for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Motion: COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 7:44 PM. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, AND JUDD VOTED AYE. COUNCILMEMBER RILEY WAS ABSENT. THE MOTION CARRIED WITH ONE ABSENT.

The next regularly scheduled meeting is November 13, 2019.

MINUTES APPROVED ON: October 30, 2019

CERTIFIED CORRECT BY: /s/ Pamela Spencer
PAMELA SPENCER, CITY RECORDER